PSYCH 710

Random, Mixed, & Nested ANOVA

Prof. Patrick Bennett

fixed vs. random factors

- fixed factor: levels of factor would be the same in replication
- random factor: levels were selected randomly from large set & would vary across replications
- introduces another source of variance that must be accounted for in our analyses

1-Way Random ANOVA

1-way random ANOVA

- alphas are assumed to be <u>random variables</u> selected from zero-mean, Normal distribution
- variance of scores is the sum of alpha & error variance

Full & Reduced Models:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$
$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \epsilon_{ij}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{Var}(Y_{ij}) &= \sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 \\ \mathrm{H0}: \ \sigma_{\alpha}^2 &= 0 \\ \mathrm{H1}: \ \sigma_{\alpha}^2 &> 0 \end{split}$$



 $\operatorname{Var}(Y_{ij}) = \sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$

 $\hat{\sigma}_{lpha}^2 = (1/n) imes (\mathrm{MS}_{BG} - \mathrm{MS}_{WG})$ assumes equal n per group

(if $\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha}^2 < 0$ then set $\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha}^2$ to zero)



Strength of association
intraclass correlation ICC

$$\rho_I = \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^2}{\sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_I = \frac{MS_{BG} - MS_{WG}}{MS_{BG} + (n-1)MS_{WG}} \qquad \hat{\rho}_I = \frac{F_{BG} - 1}{(n-1) + F_{BG}}$$



Random ANOVA & Variance Components (Dyestuff)

The Dyestuff data frame provides the yield of dyestuff (Naphthalene Black 12B) from 5 different preparations from each of 6 different batches of an intermediate product (H-acid). The Dyestuff2 data were generated data in the same structure but with a large residual variance relative to the batch variance.

```
> MS.batch <- 11272
> MS.error <- 2451
> var.comp.error <- MS.error</pre>
> n <- 5 # observations per cell</pre>
 ( var.comp.batch <- (MS.batch - MS.error)/n )</pre>
[1] 1764.2
> sqrt(var(Dyestuff$Yield)) # SD of sample yield
[1] 63.02367
> sqrt(var.comp.batch + var.comp.error) # estimated pop SD of yield
[1] 64.92457
> # unbiased estimate of variance ratio (theta)
> m <- 6 * (n-1) / (6 * (n-1) - 2)
> (11272 - m*2451) / (m*n*2451)
[1] 0.6431
> # association strength (ICC intraclass correlation):
> var.comp.batch / (var.comp.batch + var.comp.error)
[1] 0.4185
```

Random ANOVA & Variance Components (Dyestuff) Imer in ImerTest package > options(contrasts=c("contr.sum","contr.poly")) > library(lmerTest) > dye.lme <- lmer(Yield~(1|Batch),data=Dyestuff)</pre> > ranova(dye.lme) Model: Yield ~ (1 | Batch)npar logLik AIC LRT Df Pr(>Chisq) 3 -160 326 <none> 2 -163 330 6.37 1 0.012 * (1 | Batch) > VarCorr(dye.lme) Groups Name Std.Dev. # variance components (Intercept) 42.0 Batch Residual 49.5 > (ICC <- 42^2 / (42^2 + 49.5^2)) # association strength F17 0.4186



2-way mixed ANOVA

(A fixed; B random)

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_k + (\alpha\beta)_{jk} + \epsilon_{ijk}$

- A is fixed: alphas are constrained (sum-to-zero)
- B is random: levels selected randomly
- distributed normally with $\mu=0$ and $\mathrm{var}=\sigma_{\!\beta}^2$
- AxB interaction effects are random
- _ distributed normally with $\mu = 0$ and var $= \sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^2$
- sum of interaction effects across levels of fixed factor is zero
- sum of interaction effects within levels of fixed factor may not be zero

Effect of randomly sampling levels of a factor

- A = Therapy Mode (fixed)
- all α's equal zero
- B = Clinical Trainee (random)
- all β 's equal zero
- AxB interaction effects (α,β) are <u>not</u> all zero
- Across all possible levels, <u>sum</u> of (α,β)'s in each column & row = 0
- When B is sampled, sum of (α,β)'s across levels of B may not be zero
- AxB interaction leaks into main effect of A (i.e., the fixed factor)

. Population Mean	s for T	hree	e Th	erap	y M	ode.	s an	d foi	- the	Ent	ire i	Pop	ulati	on oj	(Tro	ine	25		
								Clin	nica	l Tre	nine	2	in a s		200	- 16	_		
Therapy Mode	a	b	с	d	е	f	g	h	i	j.	k	I	m	n	0	р	q	r	Men
sychodynamic	7	6	5	7	6	5	4	4	4	1	2	3	4	4	4	1	2	3	4
Behavioral	4	4	4	1	2	3	7	6	5	7	6	5	1	2	3	4	4	4	1
Rogerian	1	2	3	4	4	4	1	2	3	4	4	4	7	6	5	7	6	5	4
Mean	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
I. Population Mea	ns for	Thre	e Ti	hera	py I	Aod	es a	nd fe	or a	Sam	ple	of T	rain	ees					
Section 1993	(Iini	ical	Trai	nee				C					_					
Therapy Mode	g		k		r	1	Mean	7											
	4		2		3		3.00												
Psychodynamic			6		4		5.67												
Psychodynamic Behavioral	7				5		3.33												
Psychodynamic Behavioral Rogerian	7		4																

TABLE 10.1

Maxwell, Delaney & Kelly (2018)



Source	$\xi(MS)$ A fixed; B random
A	$\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + n\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^2 + bn\sum_{j=1}^a \alpha_j^2/(a-1)$
B	$\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + an\sigma_{\beta}^2$
$A \times B$	$\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + n\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^2$
Residuals	σ_{ϵ}^2







Example (ACT Experiment))	Example (ACT Experiment) mixed-effect ANOVA with Imer					
<pre>> options(contrasts=c("contr.sum", "contr.poly")) > summary(aov(score~study+school+study:school,dat</pre>	 ta=mw.act)) recalculate F & p for fixed effect very small variance components this result may be interesting/important 	<pre>> require(lmerTest) > act.lme.01 <- lmer(score ~ study + (llschool) + (llstudy:school) > anova(act.lme.01) Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value Pr(>F) study 243.84 243.84 1 3 13.5 0.0349 *</pre>	,data=mw.act) Fixed Effect ANOVA				

Example (ACT Experiment) mixed-effect ANOVA with Imer		Example (ACT Experiment) mixed-effect ANOVA with Imer				
<pre>> require(lmerTest) > act.lme.01 <- lmer(score ~ study + (1 school) + (1 study:school),data=mw.ac > VarCorr(school.lme) # var components as std dev Groups Name Std.Dev. study:school (Intercept) 1.312 school (Intercept) 0.817 Residual 4.250</pre>	Variance Components	<pre>> # association strength for random effects > library(performance) > icc(school.lme,by_group=T) # ICC by Group Group ICC</pre>				
<pre>> ranova(school.lme) # significance tests ANOVA-like table for random-effects: Single term deletions Model: score ~ study + (1 school) + (1 study:school)</pre>	dropping random effects does NOT change fit significantly	<pre>> # association strength for fixed effects > library(effectsize) > omega_squared(school.lme) # Effect Size for ANOVA (Type III) Parameter Omega2 (partial) 95% CI </pre>				





2-way rando (A & B random)	om ANOVA	$Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_j + \beta_k + (\alpha\beta)_{jk} + \epsilon_{ijk}$	
 A & B are random: distributed normally with interaction effects are distributed normally with main effects evaluate interaction evaluated 	μ=0 and var=σ² _β e random μ=0 and var=σ² _(αβ) d by comparing MS _A & MS _B to M by comparing MS _{AxB} to MS _{residua}	15 _{AXB} als	
$Source$ A B $A \times B$ Residuals	$ \begin{array}{c} \xi(MS) \ A \ \& \ B \ \mathrm{random} \\ \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} + n\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^{2} + bn\sigma_{\alpha}^{2} \\ \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} + n\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^{2} + an\sigma_{\beta}^{2} \\ \hline \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} + n\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^{2} \\ \hline \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \end{array} $	H0: $\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^2 = 0$ H1: $\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^2 > 0$	

strength of associationrandom 2-way ANOVA
$$\rho_{I:A,partial} = \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^2}{\sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma_{e}^2}$$
 $\sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma_{e}^2$ $\sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma_{e}^2$ $\rho_{I:B,partial} = \frac{\sigma_{\beta}^2}{\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma_{e}^2}$ $\rho_{I:A,partial} = \frac{\sigma_{\beta}^2}{\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma_{e}^2}$ $\rho_{I:A,B,partial} = \frac{\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^2}{\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^2 + \sigma_{e}^2}$ $\sigma_{e}^2 = MS_R$



ANOVA variance	e (AC	ents	zxper	iment	.)		
<pre>> library(VCA) > anovaVCA(score)</pre>	re ~ 1 + s	stud	y*school,D	ata=mw.act			
Result Variance	e Componer	nt Ai	nalysis:				
Name	DF	SS	MS	VC	%Total	SD	CV[%]
2 study	1	360	360	16.666667	44.903458	4.082483	17.010345
3 school	3	100	33.333333	0.666667	1.796138	0.816497	3.402069
4 study:school	3	80	26.666667	1.720833	4.636282	1.311805	5.465856
Mean: 24 (N = 4 Experimental D	40) esign: ba	Lance	ed Met	hod: ANOVA			





Nested Experimental Design

- Factor B is nested within factor A
- if each level of B occurs within only one level of A
- Nesting often is a result of sampling strategy

Table 4: Example of a nested design.

	b_1	b_2	b_3	b_4	b_5	b_6
a_1	6	6	6	х	х	х
a_2	x	х	х	6	6	6

Example of nested sampling strategy

- experimenter estimates calcium concentration from turnip leaves
- randomly selects 4 turnip plants
- within each plant, randomly samples 3 leaves
- within each leaf, randomly samples 3 locations
- ➡ measures calcium in each location
- final measure depends on variation among plants
- variation among leaves within plant
- variation among locations <u>within</u> leaves





Ex	Example: turnips													
lo: xt:	ad(url abs(~p	("h lar	nttr nt+]	b://	/pn f,d	b.m ata	cma =tu	ste	er.	ca/')	benn	.ett/	psy7	10/datasets/turnips.rda"))
##		lea:	f											
##	plant	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6	L7	L8	L9	L10	L11	L12	
##	- p1	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
##	- p2	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
##	p3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	
##	p4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	

> t	urnips plant	leaf	spot	calcium	
1	p1	L1	s1	3.28	
2	p1	L1	s2	3.09	
3	p1	L2	s3	3.52	
4	p1	L2	s4	3.48	
5	p1	L3	s5	2.88	
6	p1	L3	s6	2.80	• Each plant, each leaf, and each spot is
7	p2	L4	s7	2.46	• Lacii piant, eacii tear, and eacii spot is
8	p2	L4	s8	2.44	given a <u>unique</u> identifier
9	p2	L5	s9	1.87	• — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
10	p2	L5	s10	1.92	 Necessary if you want lmer to figure out
11	p2	L6	s11	2.19	
12	p2	L6	s12	2.19	that leaf is NESTED in plant and spot is
13	р3	L7	s13	2.77	NESTED in leaf
14	p3	L7	s14	2.66	
15	р3	L8	s15	3.74	
16	p3	L8	s16	3.44	
17	p3	L9	s17	2.55	
18	p3	L9	S18	2.55	
19	p4	L10	s19 - 20	3.78	
20	p4	L10	s20	3.87	
21	p4		521	4.07	
22	p4		522	4.1Z	
23	p4	L12	523	5.3L 5.31	

Example: using aov()	turnips
	<pre>> summary(aov(calcium~plant+leaf,data=turnips))</pre>
	Dt Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F) n]ant 3 7 56 2 520 378 7 3 8e-12 ***
	leaf 8 2.63 0.329 49.4 5.1e-08 ***
	Residuals 12 0.08 0.007
	<pre>> (F.plant <- 2.5201/.3288) # denominator from leaf [1] 7.665 > (p.plant <- 1-pf(F.plant,3,8)) [1] 0.009727</pre>
	$F_A = \frac{MS_A}{MS_{B/A}}$ $F_{B/A} = \frac{MS_{B/A}}{MS_{Residuals}}$

Example: turnips

using aov()

```
> n <- 2
> a <- 4
> b <- 3
> # variance components (anova estimates):
> var.comp.error <- .0067</pre>
> ( var.comp.leaf <- (.3288-.0067) / n )</pre>
[1] 0.161
> (var.comp.plant <- (2.5201 - .3288) / (b*n) )
[1] 0.3652
> # partial ICC:
> (part.icc.leaf <- var.comp.leaf / (var.comp.leaf + var.comp.error) )</pre>
[1] 0.9601
> (part.icc.plant <- var.comp.plant / (var.comp.plant + var.comp.error) )</pre>
[1] 0.982
```

Example: turnips

analysis with Imer

```
> library(lmerTest)
> turnip.lme.01 <- lmer(calcium ~ 1 + (1|plant) + (1|leaf),data=turnips)</pre>
> anova(turnip.lme.01) # nothing here; no fixed effects
Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method
     Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value Pr(>F)
> ranova(turnip.lme.01) # chi-square tests on random effects
ANOVA-like table for random-effects: Single term deletions
Model:
calcium ~ (1 | plant) + (1 | leaf)
            npar logLik AIC LRT Df Pr(>Chisq)
              4 -1.09 10.2
<none>
(1 | plant) 3 -3.73 13.5 5.29 1
                                          0.022 *
(1 | leaf)
            3 -15.62 37.2 29.07 1
                                         7e-08 ***
```

Example: turnips

variance components & association strength

Variance Components

vc pri	<- VarCon nt(vc,con	rr(turnip.lm np="Variance	e.01) ")	lil ico	brary(c(turn	per ip.	forman lme.01	nce) 1,by_group=T	')
## ## ## ##	Groups leaf plant Residual	Name (Intercept) (Intercept)	Variance 0.16117 0.36460 0.00665	## ## ##	# ICC Group	by I	Group ICC	ICC (not partial-IC	
varia	ition within a	leaf is VERY small	6 plants	##	plant).685		
COM			$\hat{\rho}_{plant}^2$	$=\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}}$	$\hat{c}^2_{plant} + \hat{c}^2_{plant}$	$\hat{\sigma}^2_{plant}$ $\hat{\sigma}^2_{leaf} + \hat{\sigma}^2_{error}$			

Intraclass Correlation

ICC (not partial-ICC)